



Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, February 16, 2016 ~ 7:30 P.M.

Louis J. R. Goorey Worthington Municipal Building
John P. Coleman Council Chamber
6550 North High Street
Worthington, Ohio 43085

City Council

Bonnie D. Michael, President
Scott Myers, President Pro-Tempore
Rachael Dorothy
Douglas C. Foust
David M. Norstrom
Douglas Smith
Michael C. Troper

D. Kay Thress, Clerk of Council

CALL TO ORDER – Roll Call, Pledge of Allegiance

Worthington City Council met in Regular Session on Tuesday, February 16, 2016, in the John P. Coleman Council Chambers of the Louis J.R. Goorey Worthington Municipal Building, 6550 North High Street, Worthington, Ohio. President Michael called the meeting to order at or about 7:30 p.m.

Members Present: Rachael R. Dorothy, Douglas Foust, Scott Myers, David Norstrom, Douglas K. Smith, Michael C. Troper and Bonnie D. Michael

Member(s) Absent:

Also present: Clerk of Council D. Kay Thress, City Manager Matthew Greeson, Director of Law Pamela Fox, Assistant City Manager Robyn Stewart, Director of Finance Molly Roberts, Director of Building and Planning Lee Brown, Director of Public Service and Engineering Dan Whited, Director of Parks and Recreation Darren Hurley, Chief of Fire Scott Highley and Chief of Police James Mosaic

There were seven visitors present.

President Michael invited all those in attendance to stand and join in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

VISITOR COMMENTS

President Michael welcomed David McCorkle who is the new Economic Development Manager for the city. She shared that City Council is very excited to have him on board and promises to keep him busy. Mr. McCorkle thanked her for the greeting.

PUBLIC HEARINGS ON LEGISLATION

President Michael declared public hearings and voting on legislation previously introduced to be in order.

Ordinance No. 01-2016

Amending Ordinance No. 44-2015 (As Amended) to Adjust the Annual Budget by Providing for an Appropriation from the Capital Improvements Fund Unappropriated Balance to Pay the Cost of Engineering and Related Services for Preliminary Design and Related Services for the Intersection Improvements at Huntley/Wilson Bridge/Worthington-Galena Roads and Determining to Proceed with said Project. (Project No. 602-14)

The foregoing Ordinance Title was read.

MOTION Mr. Myers made a motion to remove Ordinance No. 01-2016 from the table. The motion was seconded by Mr. Norstrom.

The motion carried unanimously by a voice vote.

Mr. Greeson commented that we worked diligently in selecting a preferred alternative for the Huntley/Wilson Bridge/Worthington-Galena intersection, or the Northeast Gateway project. He asked Mrs. Stewart who has coordinated much of the staff efforts and ask Mr. White to weigh in when appropriate. We also have Mike Brehm with us this evening and the lead engineer with our consultant, EMH&T.

Mrs. Stewart commented that this intersection has been discussed for a while. EMH&T was selected by the City to conduct a feasibility study to look at ways to address the congestion and other issues that we see at that intersection. The results of that study were publicly addressed in November and December. Staff then shared the results with City Council in January. At that meeting the City Council did select the preferred alternative from several that were presented. The next step in the process is to work on the detailed design. The city has received a proposal from EMH&T to conduct that detail design. This is an extensive effort on the part of EMH&T that is scheduled to last a year or more. They will develop detailed design plans that will include detailed right of way plans which will enable us to move forward into the stages of right of way acquisition as well as utility relocation and coordination that will need to occur. Then ultimately it will bring us up to the stage of being able to move into the construction phase and go out for bids for the construction phase of the project. Members may recall that this project includes federal funds in the construction phase so we will be following the requirements for the use of the federal funds as we move through this detailed design process as well as with the right of way acquisition process when we get to that point.

Mrs. Stewart shared that included in the agenda packet was the scope of work and the proposal from EMH&T. She and Mr. Whited met with EMH&T to discuss that detailed design. They also invited our partners in this project through the Ohio Department of Transportation and with MORPC on the design. If they had any comments we did incorporate their comments into our discussions and we believe the proposal is appropriate for moving forward into this next phase of the project. She noted that an amendment is needed to the ordinance that was introduced as it was introduced without an amount because staff was still discussing the scope with EMH&T.

Mrs. Stewart requested that the ordinance be amended to incorporate the amount of \$840,992.00 as the appropriation amount.

MOTION Mr. Norstrom made a motion to amend Ordinance No. 01-2016 in Section 1. by inserting the sum of Eight Hundred Forty Thousand, Nine Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$840,992.00). The motion was seconded by Mr. Myers.

The motion carried unanimously by a voice vote.

Mr. Whited concurred with Mrs. Stewart's comments.

Mr. Greeson added that this will position us to apply for state grant funding. We will be able to apply for Ohio Public Works Commission dollars in addition to the federal funds to help bring the cost of this project down for the city. As members may recall, the federal contribution for the construction of this project is 80% which is a significant attraction of federal dollars to the Worthington community.

When asked by Ms. Dorothy if the 80% was for the construction cost, Mr. Greeson agreed that it was.

Mr. Norstrom commented that the \$840,000+ is totally local money. Mrs. Stewart agreed.

Mr. Myers stated that under subsection "C." Compensation on page 11 of the design proposal there is a figure of \$14,850 for Public Involvement Meeting. That is over and above what we have already had and it is also in addition to meetings that were already budgeted for in other parts of the contract for staff meetings. Mrs. Stewart agreed. She added that there is additional public involvement/public engagement that is included in the base scope and that is one of the items that if the City feels the need to add a public meeting on to that scope then there was associated costs with that. That will be done only if the city authorizes that activity. In fact, each of these items in the proposal that say "if authorized" that is just if we get to that point in the project and we feel it is needed in order to keep moving forward on the project.

Ms. Dorothy commented that shared found that section confusing before it doesn't reference correctly. It lists #13 as Public Involvement meeting when it is list as #14 on the itemized list. Mr. Brehm agreed that the numbering doesn't match. He explained that #1 - #13 are all base services. So the numbers could actually be increased by 1 for #13 - #18. He apologized for any confusion.

Mr. Brehm further commented that the following items:

- Public involvement meeting
- Subsurface utility investigation
- Appraiser Assistance with R/W Cost Estimating
- Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
- Phase 1 Archeological Survey
- Phase 1 History/Architecture Survey

The items are all items that based on their experience on similar projects may come up / may be useful but they didn't think were necessary to include in the base services.

Ms. Dorothy commented that under the regular city meetings, she asked if there is a timeline for when we might be talking with the bike and pedestrian committee. Mrs.

Stewart replied that the meeting hasn't been coordinated yet but they will need to get with Mr. Hurley and the members of the Bike and Ped Committee to understand their schedule and then match it up with the schedule on the project. Staff does anticipate that it will be early in the process so she expects it will occur fairly soon if this is authorized and once the ordinance goes into effect and we are ready to precede, she expects they will be reaching out pretty quickly to Mr. Hurley to get something scheduled.

Mr. Myers talked with Mr. Brehm in greater detail about the number of meetings that were included as part of the base costs versus meetings that could be added "if authorized".

Mr. Myers clarified that if Mr. Brehm anticipates holding another meeting similar to the November open house that meeting will cost the city \$15,000. Mr. Brehm replied yes if it is authorized. If the city decides that another meeting is necessary they will plan the meeting, provide all of the graphics, document, organize, and send out mailers and a public response.

Mr. Norstrom commented that these are all budgeted numbers. He assumes that they have been gone through because basically we are giving an \$840,000 sole source contract. This portion of the work could have gone out to bid. Mr. Greeson shared that council selected EMH&T through a process. Mrs. Stewart added that EMH&T was selected for the feasibility study. This builds upon the knowledge they gained by going through that study. So while there was not a competitive process for the design there was a competitive process to select them as the firm to conduct the feasibility study.

Mr. Norstrom stated that we have made some assumptions in terms of going out. The \$800,000 is an estimate of what they think it is going to take. It is not a hard guess. For example if we wanted to have an extra public meeting we could state that we only want to spend \$10,000 on that meeting and not \$14,000. Mr. Greeson agreed that the meeting would be scoped differently. Mrs. Stewart added that the cost is based on the number of hours it will take to prepare for the meeting, hold the meeting and then conduct a follow-up. Mr. Norstrom stated that he understands that. He added that we can scope the meeting in different ways. Mrs. Stewart replied that city staff could look to take on more of the work if we wanted to try to reduce that amount and if we felt that city staff had the capability to do that.

Mr. Norstrom commented that that same thought applies to everything else in this contract. These are estimates based upon knowledge today and he assumes Mr. Whited will be reviewing this on an ongoing basis and determining whether the costs and the scope remain appropriate. Mr. Myers stated that he is sure that council can count on staff to be diligent in monitoring those additional costs.

Ms. Michael pointed out that council is only appropriating the base amount at this time. Mrs. Stewart explained that staff is requesting the appropriation for the full amount of activities that are proposed recognizing that if we don't authorize the "if authorized" section of the proposal then we would not spend those funds.

Mr. Norstrom stated that he asked the question about the \$840,000 coming out of our local budget because we may be able to save some money in other aspects of funding other projects.

Mrs. Stewart commented that our partners have reviewed this proposal and particularly ODOT asked some questions and provided some thoughts around the hours and the scope of work that was included in this so the amount of hours and the costs associated with them were discussed.

Ms. Dorothy asked about the timeline for the design work. Mr. Brehm replied that they would start as soon as possible. One of the big hurdles as mentioned is the MORPC Attributable Funds update. That will occur in the summer so we want to get started and perform as much detail design and cost estimating as we can ahead of that application to inform that application update. Then they would be working towards plan approvals next year so the design timeframe is going to be about a year and a half with right-of-way and utility scope items continuing on beyond that time.

Mr. Greeson explained that the MORPC Attributable Funding process requires updates. He explained the process in greater detail. He added that staff wouldn't anticipate any problems with the adjustment of our schedule based on our update or the appropriation of those funds in the year that we need to use them.

Mr. Brehm shared that as they were evaluating intersection alternatives and looking at budgets they met with MORPC staff members to bring them up to speed on what they were thinking about schedules and funding so there wouldn't be any surprises when we update them again later this year.

Mr. Foust stated that he appreciates seeing the phrase "not to exceed" at the top of page 11. He asked Mr. Brehm if there was anything in the scope that he was concerned may be undervalued or underestimated, or any surprises lurking that members should be aware of. Mr. Brehm shared that they have proposed the contract as an hourly, not to exceed contract so they will only invoice the city for what they actually spend. To the point about working with Mr. Whited and making sure things stay in line, we will be providing updates on a monthly basis. He added that he has been with EMH&T for thirteen years. Since 2008 he has been working continuously on at least one ODOT LPA project such as this one. A very similar project that they are just wrapping up is the Cleveland Ave. and Schrock Rd. improvements in Westerville and Columbus. So the scope and fee that they proposed here is reflective of that experience. He personally has a high level of confidence that they know the process very well. They have been tested through that process and have a good understanding of what is going to be required from a local level as well as from ODOT/MORPC/Federal Highways level. Anytime you are working on something for a year and a half or two years we may run into something but they have taken a great deal of time to think about this. They make every effort to protect the scope and fee and this project will be no exception. Mr. Foust thanked him for his answer.

Mrs. Stewart shared that landscaping is an area that is not very well defined because we are not exactly sure what we want to do with it. Members will notice that there is hours allocated in the proposal to work on some conceptual ideas for landscaping since this is a gateway into our community but since we haven't fully defined what we as a city might want to see there the landscaping can't be fully design. She will expect that area to receive more clarity as we move through this process. If there needs to be an adjustment in the work that EMH&T is doing than staff will certainly keep council informed.

When asked by Mr. Norstrom if the construction costs are included in MORPC's CIP, Mr. Greeson replied yes. Mr. Brehm shared that construction was originally scheduled to begin in 2017. This turned out to be something bigger than anybody anticipated when we first started looking at it, particularly from a right-of-way process standpoint. We have tried to adjust the schedule to provide appropriate time for design, right-of-way acquisition and so forth.

Ms. Michael asked if ground breaking would occur in 2017 or 2018. Mr. Brehm replied that the design should be completed by 2017. Since we anticipate that some persons and/or businesses will need to be relocated and because of right of way acquisitions, ground breaking will likely be in 2019. If right-of-way acquisition goes very well, the project could potentially be advanced before 2019 but we've tried to present a schedule that takes all factors into consideration. Ms. Michael expressed some discouragement in the slowness of the process.

Carlo Cautela, 7069 Worthington-Galena Rd.

Mr. Cautela shared that his property is one of the ones involved in the realignment of Worthington-Galena Rd. He asked who would be working directly with the homeowners on acquisitions. Mrs. Stewart replied that the city is working with ODOT and they will be involved through the right-of-way acquisition phase as well as the construction phase because of the federal funds involved and they are more accustomed to working with federal funds. There is a prescribed process, because of the federal funds, that we have to follow for right-of-way acquisition. She also anticipates that we will likely hire someone to assist the city in working through the federal process of acquiring right-of-way so she expects that we will bring in somebody additional to work with the city, EMH&T and ODOT to work through that process. As Mr. Brehm mentioned we are probably still a year and a half or so away from that stage.

Mr. Norstrom asked if the right-of-way will be acquired by the city or by ODOT. Mr. Brehm replied that the right-of-way will be acquired by the city.

Ms. Michael stated that somebody will be contacting this gentleman. Mr. Brehm commented that the current schedule shows that right-of-way acquisition will begin in April 2017. By then we will be far enough in the design and have a firm understanding of the right-of-way needs associated with the project. At that point in time the city will hire a right-of-way acquisition consultant to assist with process.

Mr. Norstrom commented that these are all done under federal guidelines. Members may hear a lot about Title 6 protection for individuals. It is a well-defined process.

There being no additional comments, the Clerk called the roll on the passage of Ordinance No. 01-2016 (As Amended). The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes 7 Foust, Troper, Norstrom, Dorothy, Smith, Myers and Michael

No 0

Ordinance No. 01-2016 (As Amended) was thereupon declared duly passed and is recorded in full in the appropriate record book.

Ordinance No. 07-2016

Amending Ordinance No. 44-2015 (As Amended) to Adjust the Annual Budget by Providing for Appropriations from the General Fund Unappropriated Balance.

The foregoing Ordinance Title was read.

Mr. Greeson commented that this is a supplemental appropriation that addresses the following items:

- 1) Costs incurred for unexpected repair work to the municipal building elevator.
- 2) Collections for the CVB for 2015 exceeded what was budgeted. This supplemental appropriation is necessary to allow for the distribution of the remaining funds up to the 66% that the CVB receives.
- 3) Covers the cost of legal fees incurred as part of the Community Center Roof litigation. The settlement more than offset the cost of the litigation.

When asked by Mr. Myers how much the total settlement amount was on the roof litigation, Mr. Greeson replied \$375,000.

Mr. Norstrom commented that members know that he is not a big fan of the CVB. In past years council has put a ceiling on how much they will receive regardless of the percentage. Their budget was \$130,000. During the budget hearing when he asked them why they were increasing their budget for 2016 by \$20,000 they shared that it is because they expect additional revenue. They did not indicate that they had any specific plans. It was just that they get the money and they will spend the money. He thinks he recalls that they have about \$40,000 in their carryover therefore suggests that council leaves the amount at \$130,000 and use the additional money to fund other groups, which Mr. Foust and Mr. Troper are working on finding funding for.

MOTION

Mr. Norstrom made a motion to amend this ordinance to delete the \$17,528.66 for the CVB.

The motion died for lack of a second.

There being no additional comments, the Clerk called the roll on the passage of Ordinance No. 07-2016. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes 6 Troper, Dorothy, Smith, Myers, Foust, and Michael

No 1 Norstrom

Ordinance No. 07-2016 was thereupon declared duly passed and is recorded in full in the appropriate record book.

NEW LEGISLATION TO BE INTRODUCED

Resolution No. 09-2016

Authorizing an Amendment to the Final Development Plan for 2245 and 2285 West Dublin-Granville Road and Authorizing Variances (Dan Cline/Linworth Plaza, LLC).

Introduced by Mr. Foust.

MOTION

Mr. Norstrom made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 09-2016. The motion was seconded by Mr. Smith.

Mr. Brown shared that this amendment to development plan focuses on the variances that are associated with signage for the property. Some of the changes that members will start to see on the site is their entrance and exit from SR 161. They have an entrance change. Originally there was a hackberry tree on site. The tree had to be removed due to poor health so there are modifications associated with that. The Municipal Planning Commission (MPC) also heard a request for a drive-thru window for a pharmacy that is going in. The real focus for tonight is for signage on the site. This will be the main sign at the intersection of Linworth and Dublin-Granville Roads. The request is for additional signage above what is permitted by code. One of the end tenants closer to SR 161 and Linworth is requesting two signs on its building while city code only permits one sign up to 100 square feet. The variance is for one additional sign and an additional 20 square feet since the two signs total 120 square feet.

Mr. Brown shared that when the request went before Municipal Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board (ARB) last week a sign pallet of colors was identified that will be used by tenants going forward.

Mr. Brown showed several slides of the site.

Mr. Brown commented that the other request tonight for variance is actually from our construction sign. One construction sign is permitted per development. This site actually has ten construction signs. Staff would typically have a concern over it but at this

intersection, being so busy and having some additional signs up along the fence line they think it will help drivers keep their eyes on the road versus what is going on at the site. Staff really did not have any concerns over the addition of the construction signs nor did the ARB in their approval. Staff is recommending approval tonight. The request went before ARB and MPC on January 28th and was recommended for approval to City Council with the variances. The modifications that were made at that meeting were related to the sign sizes, colors, and fonts. The additions of any logos would have to come back to ARB for approval.

Ms. Dorothy commented that the color pallet is for the entire building and each tenant will have to have a sign within that color pallet. She asked if her understanding was correct to which Mr. Brown agreed that it was. He added that tenants will have the choice of red, green, blue or black.

Mr. Myers shared that the fonts can vary. Mr. Brown agreed. He added that the Board still wanted to approve all logos that tenant may add. Mr. Myers commented that the fonts may change from location to location but the actual dimensions of the letters are uniform across the center. Mr. Brown agreed.

Mr. Norstrom commented that the Board actually approved red for a color in the District. Mr. Brown replied that they are shaking things up a little.

There being no additional comments, the motion to adopt Resolution No. 09-2016 carried unanimously by a voice vote.

Ordinance No. 08-2016

Authorizing the Worthington Community Improvement Corporation to Execute a Lease Agreement Between the WCIC and Sew to Speak LLC for a Portion of the Kilbourne Building.

Introduced by Mr. Myers.

Mrs. Fox stated that in anticipation of the public hearing for this topic, staff felt it was important to get some information out to Council and to the public about this proposed lease with Sew to Speak for the Kilbourne Building.

She shared that Sew to Speak is a business currently located on High St. in Clintonville that specializes in unique fabrics and sewing accessories including the sale of sewing machines. It has recently launched into the sale of yarns and knitting accessories. Anita Gastaldo, who is in the audience this evening has run the shop for the past eight years and is looking to expand her retail space in order to offer increased inventory and to provide more classes for sewing and knitting. Sew to Speak is known as a destination shop for customers interested in sewing, knitting and crafting. Its primary market is young professional women with families interested in having a space to learn and to create but it attracts customers of all ages and genders. She added that many of their customers live in Worthington.

Ms. Gastaldo reports that by moving their operations to Worthington the shop will have community support and the square footage it needs to host professional workshops to inspire creativity, provide sufficient space to better accommodate summer camps for the growing attraction of young crafters, offer additional classes for customers of all ages in sewing and knitting and for the purchase a quilting machine for in store use.

Mrs. Fox stated that the estimates that she has received for construction to prepare the space for occupancy is approximately \$180,000, which will be paid for by Sew to Speak. The lease terms coincide with the lease terms of the other tenant for the building. It is a ten year initial term with an option to renew for seven years. There is slightly more than 2,000 square feet in this first floor space. The lease is a triple net with the tenant responsible for utilities, real estate taxes and common area maintenance. Rent is charged at \$15.00 a square foot and is abated for about seven years in exchange for the approximate \$180,000 investment that the owner is making in the building. The current plan is to construct bathrooms in the basement in cooperation of the tenant of the southern space that would be accessible to patrons of both businesses. Most of the improvements to be made by the tenant are improvements that are usually provided by landlords when buildout retail space is offered included finished ceilings, walls and floors, bathrooms and sufficient HVAC equipment.

Mrs. Fox then shared the city's history with the building. She further explained that the Community Investment Corporation (CIC) has been charged with marketing the building and administering the leasing activities. The southern space of the building has already been leased for a restaurant use. The CIC had a great deal of discussion around the lease to Sew to Speak and recommends approval to the City Council. The City engaged the assistance of Mike Simpson from NAI Ohio Equities to offer advice on the lease rate, the lease structure and the compatibility of the restaurant use and Sew to Speaks use to each other and to the activities on and in proximity to the Village Green. Mr. Simpson recommends the lease to Sew to Speak as it has been presented.

Ms. Michael asked members if they wished to have any additional information before the public hearing at the next meeting.

Ms. Dorothy asked for a timeline of when this lease agreement will be executed and when the store would be opened. Mrs. Fox shared that Ms. Gastaldo wants to get under contract for her portion of the improvements and hopefully open by early summer.

Ms. Michael shared that she has already heard people in the community say very nice things about how much they are looking forward to coming there and having this shop move into Worthington.

Ordinance No. 09-2016

Amending Part Seventeen – Title Two of the Codified Ordinances of the City Regarding Municipal Income Tax.

Introduced by Ms. Dorothy.

The Clerk was instructed to give notice of a public hearing on said ordinance(s) in accordance with the provisions of the City Charter unless otherwise directed.

REPORTS OF CITY OFFICIALS

Mr. Greeson shared that he has two items that he would like for Mr. Hurley to speak on.

Mr. Hurley reported that he was contacted last week by Mr. Mike Molton, the President of the WOOF Board. He shared that WOOF had accumulated some funds through various activities and the WOOF Board agreed to provide the City \$25,000 for parking lot expansion at the Dog Park, which is greatly needed, especially on nice days. We do not know exactly what that amount will provide although after we ran some numbers based on quantities in our Street Program we would be able to duplicate the smaller parking lot that accommodates fourteen spots. So we hope to be able to provide somewhere around fourteen to twenty spaces just to the north of that parking area. Staff will be working with Mr. Whited and certainly the city of Columbus to determine how we can maximize those dollars. He will report back to Council to provide follow-up. He mainly just wanted Council to be aware in the topic so members could thank any WOOF Board members they might encounter. City staff certainly appreciates that gift.

Mr. Hurley further shared that the 2016 Capital Improvement Plan included \$19,000 for the replacement of one turf mower, which is an annual practice. This year staff looked at a different brand of mower which has had a good result in that the price with the trade in has come in well under that budgeted amount. In working with our new fleet manager and assessing the needs in the adjoining Service Department we would like to use that quantity of money to replace two mowers at the Parks Dept. and swap of one of the mowers that we would trade to the Service Dept. That would actually be an upgrade of three mowers overall. We are not requesting additional money but felt that since we just requested one mower we should check with Council to see if members have any issues with staff following this course of action.

MOTION

Mr. Troper made a motion to authorize the purchase of two new mowers instead of one and to transfer a current mower to the Service Department. The motion was seconded by Mr. Foust.

The motion carried unanimously by a voice vote.

REPORTS OF COUNCIL MEMBERS

Ms. Dorothy shared that Boy Scout Troop 365 is having a spaghetti dinner fundraiser at the Methodist Church from 4:30 to 7:30 this Friday. She encouraged members to stop by any time and have a great dinner served by some wonderful scouts.

Mr. Smith commented that several members approached him about the sidewalk proposal. He will hopefully answer some questions about that over the next several days. He would like to request that the topic be placed on the agenda for discussion at the next meeting and appropriately make the community aware.

When asked by Mr. Greeson if he would like that for discussion first prior to any type of introduction, Mr. Smith replied yes.

Mr. Myers asked if legislation had already been drafted. Mr. Greeson replied no. Mr. Myers shared that if this is a general discussion item he would rather have it on a committee of the whole meeting. Then it could be introduced the following week if that is the Council's desire.

Mr. Norstrom shared that Friday night is the big gala at the MAC. If members haven't purchased their tickets yet he would highly encourage them to do so. He added that the theme is television shows. He thinks it will be a fun event.

Mr. Foust provided an update on the 2015 grant requests. He will keep members posted on their progress.

Ms. Michael thanked Council and staff for all of the time and effort that went into the council retreat this past weekend. She felt there was good conversation and a lot of great direction came out of it.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

ADJOURNMENT

MOTION Mr. Myers made a motion to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Mr. Foust.

The motion carried unanimously by a voice vote.

President Michael declared the meeting adjourned at 8:22 p.m.

/s/ D. Kay Thress
Clerk of Council

*APPROVED by the City Council, this
21st day of March, 2016.*

/s/ Bonnie D. Michael
Council President