



WORTHINGTON BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY BOARD

Minutes of the Monday, October 24, 2016 Meeting

Members Present: The members present were Michael Bates, Larry Creed, Matt Erickson, Ann Horton, Douglas Knight, Emma Lindholm, John Rist, and Kelly Whalen.

City Support Staff Darren Hurley (Parks and Recreation Director) and Celia Thornton (Project Supervisor) were present. Also present was Council Representative Rachael Dorothy.

Minutes from the September 26, 2016 meeting were approved.

Trail Leash Law Discussion: Mr. Hurley stated that staff had done some research on how other parks deal with this issue. In order to follow up with Olentangy Trail user, Mr. Jeff Tewart (who asked the board to consider requiring dogs to be on leash along the Olentangy Trail), staff would like to present that information to the board and then allow you to have discussion in terms of questions, thoughts, and preferences. Then, if the board so recommends, we can put it on our next agenda to come back with any follow up so a recommendation can be made. If this board makes a recommendation, it would need to include the Parks Commission as they have a stake in trails in the parks as well. Ms. Thornton presented the research (attached). Ms. Thornton also shared that after checking with police, they haven't received any complaints from bikers about dogs, but receive many calls about the speed of bikers on the trail and its effect on the safety of all trail users. Board members debated whether this was an appropriate topic for the Bike & Ped Board, whether it needed an answer, should be turned over to Parks Commission and how significant of an issue this actually was. There was agreement over the fact that the Olentangy Trail and park shouldn't be treated differently than other City parks. Mr. Knight articulated that he thought we should look at this comprehensively and have a statement that reflects that. As much as we want people biking, we shouldn't favor biking over other forms of transportation or exercise (such as walking) by passing a rule that makes it safer for bikes to go faster, rather than slower to accommodate all users equally. Mr. Whalen pointed out that we're in line with other cities. The board decided to not make any changes based on the above information and consider the matter closed.

Data Collection and Safety Analysis Follow Up: Mr. Hurley indicated to the board that they are in good shape for this project to be funded in 2017 albeit through the city's operating budget as opposed to the CIP and that it is time to begin forming specifications for what we would like the project to include. Staff sent out the specifications Larry Creed helped us put together

based on board discussion a few meetings back. Mr. Hurley also reminded the board that he had shared with them the scope MORPC proposed after reviewing those same items. He would like to get the board's feedback on those and see if there are any additional thoughts or suggestions at this time. Mr. Creed reminded the board that the idea was to have an overarching idea of what was going on in the city, and enough data, to refer back to and to use to make decisions when brought issues by the public or Council. For example, a map of crash data we can refer to as we make project recommendations on roads or corridors. He also highlighted adding cost estimates to projects so we have an idea of how much they will cost. Mr. Hurley emphasized the importance of this, so that when funding is available we have the necessary data to make decisions and are ready to go after it. Mr. Knight asked if we had specific data on pedestrian crashes. Mr. Creed responded that he did. He also said one trend he'd noticed in reviewing was that most bike crashes occurred when they were on sidewalks. Mr. Rist asked if this information would go to the engineering department at the beginning of the year. Mr. Hurley assured him it would be shared.

PHB Education: Staff have received materials from our consultant for PHB education and we are now working on selecting the best materials along with the detailed distribution plan. Once prepared, staff will bounce that off of the sub-committee assigned to it.

Other:

- Ms. Thornton shared that the Enforcement & Evaluation sub-committee had met with Officer Tammy Floyd from the Police Department. Mr. Creed took minutes which reflect what was discussed and future objectives. Once completed the minutes will be emailed out to the board.
- Mr. Rist shared that he believes that \$100,000 earmarked for Bike & Ped projects doesn't go far. He would like to make a recommendation to City Council to propose a levy to fund bike and pedestrian projects, or at the very least to get left over CIP funds shifted to our projects. He asked the board to look at the narrow window of availability: if the board wants Council to bring a levy to voters, then we need to get them a recommendation to do so by January (because of the work it will cause the city to do- education meetings, maps, etc.). Mr. Rist believes the job of the advisory board is to push the envelope with the City and to get money before the schools ask for it. Mr. Erickson said he is in favor of letting the voters decide. Mr. Rist pointed out that interest rates are so low, that now is a good time. In addition, he thinks we should roll some park improvements into it because people always vote for parks. He also shared verbally about how much money could be raised and approximately what that would cost homeowners. Mr. Erickson, while in general support of the idea, expressed that he wouldn't want to go up against the schools, or compete with them for money. Mrs. Horton expressed her concern that she doesn't think we have done enough work or visioning to take a plan to the public asking for money. Mr. Rist believes it's up to the city to create the plan. The visioning will come through city open houses to the public. Mr. Knight doesn't think people will vote for anything until they know specifically what they're voting for. He does think the idea worth exploring, but doesn't see how we can get this done by the first of the year, especially since we don't have a December meeting. Mr. Hurley reminded the group there are options, such as an extra meeting or workshop in early January. Mr. Creed wondered if this has to be a property tax. He noted that Columbus has a fee on development. There is also sales tax and wonders what other options can be explored. Mr. Bates suggested that we get this discussion on an agenda and explore it, and if we miss a year that's ok, we can keep working on it. Mr. Whalen expressed that his opinion was that we need to

get our project priorities straight first (both long and short term). He doesn't think it would hurt to go through the process, learn, and if it fails try again in the future. Many levy's don't pass the first time, anyway. Mrs. Lindstrom suggested that there are other ways to get projects done (alluded to Safe Routes to School funding) and thinks we should build excitement in the community about bike and ped initiatives prior to asking for money. Mr. Hurley said he heard that there is a consensus to explore this further and that it is a worthy agenda item. For that agenda John will prepare and bring his information. In the meantime, he and Ms. Thornton can look at how other communities frame tax increases.

Updates:

1. Mobility Study – Still on track for a November presentation to the BPAB. Will provide materials ahead of time. Working on some final details and analysis with the consultant.
2. SRTS – Staff have a meeting set with Kate Moening from SRTS to continue efforts to organize an approach for getting us started.

Being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

WORTHINGTON

505.01 DOGS AND OTHER ANIMALS RUNNING AT LARGE.

(a) No person being the owner or having charge of cattle, horses, swine, cats, sheep, geese, ducks, goats, turkeys, chickens or other fowl or animals shall permit them to run at large upon any public place, or upon any unenclosed lands or upon the premises of another.

(ORC 951.02)

(b) No owner, keeper or harbinger of any female dog shall permit it to go beyond the premises of the owner, keeper or harbinger at any time the dog is in heat, unless the dog is properly in leash.

(c) No owner, keeper or harbinger of any dog shall fail at any time to keep it either physically confined or restrained upon the premises of the owner, keeper or harbinger by a leash, tether, adequate fence, supervision or secure enclosure to prevent escape, **or under reasonable control of some person. (ORC 955.22)**

(d) The running at large of any such animal in or upon any of the places mentioned in this section is prima-facie evidence that it is running at large in violation of this section.

(ORC 951.02)

(e) Whoever violates this subsection (a) hereof is guilty of a misdemeanor of the fourth degree. (ORC 951.99)

(f) (1) Whoever violates subsection (b) or (c) hereof is guilty of a minor misdemeanor for a first offense and a misdemeanor of the fourth degree for each subsequent offense.

(2) In addition to the penalties prescribed in subsection (f)(1) hereof, if the offender is guilty of a violation of subsection (b) or (c) hereof, the court may order the offender to personally supervise the dog that he owns, keeps or harbors, to cause that dog to complete dog obedience training, or to do both. (ORC 955.99)

DUBLIN, OHIO

91.01 ANIMALS OR FOWL RUNNING AT LARGE.

(A) No person, being the owner or having charge of any animal or fowl, except homing pigeons bearing official bands, shall fail to prevent such animal or fowl from running at large on any property not his own.

(B) No person, being the owner, keeper, harbinger or having charge of any dog shall permit such dog to enter upon any public or private property other than that of such person unless such dog is securely leashed by a leash not to exceed six feet in length, with the following exceptions:

(1) On private property other than that of the owner, harbinger or keeper or person having charge of the dog, with permission of the property owner.

(2) On public property.

In the case of both of the exceptions, the owner, keeper, harbinger or person having charge of such dog shall have such dog under direct control. When any dog is found on property not that of its owner, keeper, harbinger or person having charge, or securely leashed, or under "direct control", such dog shall be subject to impoundment.

(C) **DIRECT CONTROL** means that the dog is within sight and hearing and will respond instantly to the minimum obedience commands of "come" and "sit" or "stay". It shall be prima-facie evidence that a dog is not under the required control if such dog chases, injures or kills any person or domestic animal or damages or commits any nuisance upon property other than that of its owner, keeper or harbinger. "Come", the command, means that the dog shall leave the position at which he is located and return to the handler when such command is given by the handler. "Sit", the command, means that the dog shall cease movement in any forward, backward or sideways direction and shall assume a sitting position when such command is given by the handler. "Stay", the command, means that the dog shall cease movement in any forward, backward or sideways direction and shall remain in the spot in which such command was heeded until he is released by the handler.

('80 Code, § 505.01) (Ord. 39-73, passed 7-2-73) [Penalty, see § 91.99](#)

96.17 ANIMAL REGULATIONS; HUNTING AND FISHING.

(A) *Animal control.*

(1) No person shall bring into, have or keep in a park any dog, cat or other animal unless such animal is kept in a vehicle, suitably caged, in a designated area; or restrained by a leash, except where a permit has been obtained in advance from the City Manager or designee.

(2) No person being the owner or having charge of any animal on any public or private property other than the property of the owner or person having charge of such animal, shall fail to have in his possession a wood, plastic, or metal device or other container for the purpose of picking up and properly disposing of any fecal matter left by his/her animal. Failure of any such person to have in his possession such suitable device or container is prima-facie evidence of a violation of this section. No person shall fail to remove fecal matter left by his or her animal within a reasonable amount of time by properly placing such refuse into a waste receptacle.

(3) No person in a park shall ride or have under his control a horse except in areas designated for such purpose.

(4) No person in a park shall ride a horse, where such is permitted, in such a manner as to endanger the rider, the horse or any other person or any property.

(5) No person in or adjacent to a park shall abandon any animal.

(6) No person shall herd, graze, drive or permit any animal to run at large in a park except in areas designated for such purpose.

(B) *Hunting and fishing.*

(1) No person in a park shall hunt, capture, take, trap or in any other way abuse, molest, injure, pursue or destroy any animal without a permit from the City Manager or designee other than by fishing.

(2) No person in a park shall move, injure or destroy any bird nest or egg or any animal habitation with the exclusion of wildlife or wildlife nuisance management and with the consent of the City Manager or designee.

(3) No person in a park shall fish in park waters in violation of any sign or signs prohibiting fishing or a manner thereof.

(4) No person in a park shall use nets, buckets, or methods other than pole fishing to harvest from ponds which are solely contained within the city.

(5) No person in a park shall fish with other than hook or line and live or artificial bait; and other than in accordance with the fishing laws of the State of Ohio.

(6) Fishing is permitted without a license at city ponds. Fishing in the Scioto River and the direct tributaries are subject to the proper license and regulations required by the State of Ohio.

('80 Code, §§ 951.04, 951.05) (Ord. 39-89, passed 6-5-89)

(C) *Feeding wildlife.* No person shall feed any wild animal in any park or place food out with the intention to feed wild animals in a park without having obtained a permit from the City Manager or designee.

(Ord. 20-13, passed 3-25-13) [Penalty, see § 96.99](#)

WESTERVILLE, OHIO

531.01 DOGS AND OTHER ANIMALS RUNNING AT LARGE.

(a) No person, being the owner or having charge of any animal or fowl excepting homing pigeons bearing official bands, shall fail to prevent such animal or fowl from running at large on any property not his own.

(b) No person, being the owner, keeper, harbinger or having charge of any dog, shall permit such dog to enter upon any property other than that of such person unless such dog is securely leashed by a leash not to exceed six feet in length, with the following exceptions.

(1) In an off-leash area in a City dog park;

(2) On private property other than that of the owner, harbinger or keeper or person having charge of such dog, with permission of the property owner;

(3) On public property.

In the case of the aforementioned exceptions, the owner, keeper, harbinger or person having charge of such dog shall have such dog under "direct control". When any dog is found on property not that of its owner, keeper, harbinger or person having charge, and is not securely leashed or under "direct control", such dog shall be subject to impoundment.

(c) "Direct Control" means that the dog is within sight and hearing and will respond instantly to the minimum obedience commands of "come" and "sit" or "stay". It shall be prima-facie evidence that a dog is not under the required control if such dog chases, injures or kills any person or domestic animal or damages or commits any nuisance upon property other than its owner, keeper or harbinger. "Come", the command, shall mean that the dog shall leave position at which he is located and return to the handler when such command is given by the handler. "Sit", the command, shall mean that the dog shall cease movement in any forward, backward or sideways direction and shall assume a sitting position when such command is given by the handler. "Stay", the command, shall mean that the dog shall cease movement in any forward, backward or sideways direction and shall remain in the spot in which such command was heeded until he is released by the handler.

(d) Whoever violates this section is guilty of a minor misdemeanor. If the animal causes physical harm to any person or property, the offender is guilty of a misdemeanor of the fourth degree. If the offender previously has been convicted of a fourth degree misdemeanor violation of this section, then the offender is guilty of a misdemeanor of the first degree. (Ord. 05-13. Passed 4-19-05.)

COLUMBUS, OHIO

2327.11 - Animals running at large.

(A)

No owner of any animal shall permit such animal to run large on any property not his own.

(B)

No owner of any animal, shall permit the animal to enter upon any property not that of his owner, **when it is not securely leashed or under direct control**. It shall be prima-facie evidence that a **dog** is not under direct control if it chases, injures or kills any person or domestic animal or damages or commits any nuisance upon property other than that of its owner.

(C)

Whoever violates this section is guilty of permitting animals running at large, a minor misdemeanor. If the animal is a **dog**, a violation of this section is a misdemeanor of the third degree. If the animal causes physical harm to any person, or if the offender is, or has been, the owner of a **dog** which was declared a dangerous or vicious animal, a violation of this section is a misdemeanor of the first degree.

(D)

This section does not apply to homing pigeons bearing official bands.

(E)

Strict liability is intended to be imposed for a violation of this section.

(Ord. 2535-94.)

UPPER ARLINGTON, OHIO

- § 519.02 - ANIMALS AT LARGE.

(A)

No person who is the owner, keeper or harbinger of any animal shall permit it to run at large upon any public property, or private property open to use by the public in this city, including without limitations, sidewalks, streets, shopping centers and or upon the premises of another without their consent.

(B)

Except as found in subsection (C), no person who is the owner, keeper or harbinger of any dog that is not a dangerous or vicious dog shall permit it to go upon any public property, or private property open to use by the public in this city, including without limitation, sidewalks, streets, and shopping centers, or upon the premises of another without their consent, unless the dog is on a leash, cord or tether of not more than thirty (30) feet in length.

(C)

No person who is the owner, keeper or harbinger of any dog that is not a dangerous dog or vicious dog shall permit it to go upon any public park between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. during Daylight Savings hours, and the time period shall be between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. during Eastern Standard hours, unless the dog is on a leash, cord or tether of not more than thirty (30) feet in length. During the period outside the stated hours in this section, the dog must still be under control by the owner, keeper or harbinger of the animal even though the dog is not leashed.

(D)

It shall be an affirmative defense to a violation of subsections (A), (B), or (C) that involves an animal that is not a dangerous dog or vicious dog that the animal was:

(1)

Securely confined in an automobile or cage, which was adequately ventilated;

(2)

Being exhibited at a public show, parade or public institution;

(3)

Engaged in any activity expressly approved by the laws of this state.

(E)

Strict liability is intended to be imposed for a violation of this section.

(F)

(1)

Whoever violates this section that involves an animal that is not a nuisance dog, dangerous dog, or vicious dog is guilty of animals at large, a minor misdemeanor. If the offender within five (5) years of the offense, previously

has been convicted of, pleaded guilty to, or forfeited bond to this section or a substantially equivalent offense, the offender shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the fourth degree.

(2)

Whoever violates this section that involves a nuisance dog is guilty of nuisance dog at large, a misdemeanor of the fourth degree. If the offender within five (5) years of the offense previously has been convicted of, pleaded guilty to, or forfeited bond to this section or a substantially equivalent offense, the offender shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the third degree. In addition, the court may order the offender to personally supervise the dog, to cause the dog to complete dog obedience training, or to do both, and the court may order the offender to obtain the liability insurance pursuant to ORC § 955.22(E)(1) in an amount, exclusive of interest and costs, that equals or exceeds one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00).

(Ord. No. 49-2012)

GRANDVIEW, OHIO

505.04 DOGS, CATS AND OTHER DOMESTIC ANIMALS RUNNING AT LARGE.

(a) No person, being the owner or having charge of any dog, cat or other domestic animal shall permit it to run at large upon any public property, including sidewalks, rights of way and streets, or upon the premises of another.

(b) "At large" means not under control by leash, cord, chain, tether or other physical control device. Provided further, however, that a cat shall not be deemed to be running at large if it is within the physical control of the person having charge of such animal.

(c) The running at large of any such animal upon any of the places mentioned in this section is prima-facie evidence that it is running at large in violation of this section.

(d) This section shall not apply to "pocket pets" as defined in Section [505.01\(c\)](#).

(e) It shall be an affirmative defense to a violation of this section that the animal was:

- (1) Securely confined in an automobile or cage which was adequately ventilated;
- (2) Being exhibited at a public show, parade or public institution;
- (3) Engaged in any activity expressly approved by the laws of the State.

(f) Any animal found running "at large" shall be impounded pursuant to Section [505.20](#).
(Ord. 1-87. Passed 1-5-87.)

505.06 ANIMALS PROHIBITED ON CERTAIN CITY PUBLIC PROPERTY.

No person being the owner or having charge of any animal except "seeing eye dogs", shall fail to prevent such animal from going upon public property when posted.

(Ord. 1-87. Passed 1-5-87.)

GROVE CITY

📖 505.01 DOGS AND OTHER ANIMALS RUNNING AT LARGE; NUISANCE, DANGEROUS AND VICIOUS DOGS; HEARINGS.

(ORC 951.10)

(c) No owner, keeper or harbinger of any female dog shall permit it to go beyond the premises of the owner, keeper or harbinger at any time the dog is in heat unless the dog is properly in leash.

(d) Except when a dog is lawfully engaged in hunting and accompanied by the owner, keeper, harbinger, or handler of the dog, no owner, keeper or harbinger of any dog shall fail at any time to do either of the following:

(1) Keep the dog physically confined or restrained upon the premises of the owner, keeper or harbinger by a leash, tether, adequate fence, supervision or secure enclosure to prevent escape;

(2) Keep the dog under the reasonable control of some person.

(a) No person shall bring to a park any pet, except domestic **dogs** or cats which must be controlled at all times and secured to a **leash** not more than six (6) feet in length, pursuant to Section [505.01](#)(d) of the Codified Ordinances. This prohibition shall not apply to any **dogs** in a designated City **Dog** Park off-**leash** area or at any City sponsored event where it is specifically authorized.

(b) Persons shall clean up after their **dog** (s) and must carry a device for this purpose, pursuant to Section [505.09](#)(a) of the Codified Ordinances.

(Ord. C01-16. Passed 2-1-16.)

HILLIARD

505.02 DOGS AND OTHER ANIMALS RUNNING AT LARGE.

(a) No person being the owner or having charge of cattle, horses, swine, sheep, geese, ducks, goats, turkeys, chickens or other fowl or animals shall permit them to run at large upon any public place, or upon any unenclosed lands or upon the premises of another.

(ORC 951.02)

(b) No owner, keeper or harbinger of any female **dog** shall permit it to go beyond the premises of the owner, keeper or harbinger at any time the **dog** is in heat, unless the **dog** is properly in leash.

(c) No owner, keeper, or harbinger of any **dog** shall fail at any time to do either of the following:

(1) Keep the **dog** physically confined or restrained upon the premises of the owner, keeper, or harbinger by a leash, tether, adequate fence, supervision, or secure enclosure to prevent escape.

(2) Keep the **dog under the reasonable control of some person.**

(ORC 955.22)

(d) The running at large of any such animal in or upon any of the places mentioned in this section is prima-facie evidence that it is running at large in violation of this section.

(ORC 951.02)

(e) (1) Whoever violates subsection (a) hereof is guilty of a misdemeanor of the fourth degree. (ORC 951.99)

(2) A. Whoever violates subsection (b) hereof is guilty of a minor misdemeanor for a first offense and a misdemeanor of the fourth degree for each subsequent offense.

B. In addition to the penalties prescribed in subsection (e)(2)A. hereof, if the offender is guilty of a violation of subsection (b) hereof, the court may order the offender to personally supervise the **dog** that the offender owns, keeps or harbors, to cause that **dog** to complete **dog** obedience training, or to do both.

(3) A. 1. Whoever violates subsection (c) hereof that involves a **dog** that is not a nuisance **dog**, dangerous **dog** or vicious **dog** is guilty of a minor misdemeanor for a first offense and a misdemeanor of the fourth degree for each subsequent offense.

2. In addition to the penalties prescribed above, if the offender is guilty of a violation of subsection (c) hereof, that involves a **dog** that is not a nuisance **dog**, dangerous **dog** or vicious **dog**, the court may order the offender to personally supervise the **dog** that the offender owns, keeps or harbors, to cause that **dog** to complete **dog** obedience training, or to do both.

B. 1. Whoever commits a violation of subsection (c) hereof, that involves a nuisance **dog** is guilty of a minor misdemeanor on the first offense and of a misdemeanor of the fourth degree on each subsequent offense involving the same **dog**. Upon a person being convicted of or pleading guilty to a third violation of subsection (c) hereof, involving the same **dog**, the court shall require the offender to register the involved **dog** as a dangerous **dog**.

2. In addition to the penalties prescribed above, if a violation of subsection (c) hereof involves a nuisance **dog**, the court may order the offender to personally supervise the nuisance

dog that the offender owns, keeps or harbors, to cause that **dog** to complete obedience training, or to do both.

C. Whoever commits a violation of subsection (c) hereof that involves a dangerous **dog**, is guilty of a misdemeanor of the fourth degree on a first offense and of a misdemeanor of the third degree on each subsequent offense. Additionally, the court may order the offender to personally supervise the dangerous **dog** that the offender owns, keeps or harbors, to cause that **dog** to complete **dog** obedience training, or to do both, and the court may order the offender to obtain liability insurance pursuant to division (E) of Ohio R.C. 955.22. The court, in the alternative, may order the dangerous **dog** to be humanely destroyed by a licensed veterinarian, the County **Dog** Warden, or the County Humane Society at the owner's expense. With respect to a violation of subsection (c) hereof that involves a dangerous **dog**, until the court makes a final determination and during the pendency of any appeal of a violation of that division and at the discretion of the **Dog** Warden, the **dog** shall be confined or restrained in accordance with division (D) of Ohio R.C. 955.22 or at the County **Dog** Pound at the owner's expense.

D. 1. Whoever commits a violation of subsection (c) hereof that involves a vicious **dog** is guilty of one or the following:

a. A felony, if the **dog** kills a person, and shall be prosecuted under appropriate State law. Additionally, the court shall order that the vicious **dog** be humanely destroyed by a licensed veterinarian, the County **Dog** Warden or the County Humane Society at the owner's expense.

b. A misdemeanor of the first degree if the **dog** causes serious injury to a person. Additionally, the court may order the vicious **dog** to be humanely destroyed by a licensed veterinarian, the County **Dog** Warden, or the County Humane Society;

2. If the court does not order the vicious **dog** to be destroyed under subsection (e)(3)D.1.b. hereof, the court shall issue an order that specifies that division (D) of Ohio R.C. 955.11 and divisions (D) to (I) of Ohio R.C. 955.22 apply with respect to the **dog** and the owner, keeper or harbinger of the **dog** as if the **dog** were a dangerous **dog** and that Ohio R.C. 955.54 applies with respect to the **dog** as if it were a dangerous **dog**. As part of the order, the court shall order the offender to obtain the liability insurance required under division (E)(1) of Ohio R.C. 955.22 in an amount, exclusive of interest and costs, that equals or exceeds one hundred thousand dollars. Until the court makes a final determination and during the pendency of any appeal of a violation of subsection (c) hereof and at the discretion of the **Dog** Warden, the **dog** shall be confined or restrained in accordance with the provisions described in division (D) of Ohio R.C. 955.22 or at the County **Dog** Pound at the owner's expense.

(ORC 955.99)

	Reasonable Control	Leashed (certain hours)	Leashed	Additional Park Restrictions										
Worthington	X													
Westerville	X													
Dublin	X													
Columbus	X													
Upper Arlington			X											
Grandview				X				X						
Grove City	X							X						
Hilliard	X													
		Only three cities had any mention of leash length. Upper Arlington requires a 30 foot or shorter leash. Grove City and Westerville specify leash lengths of 6 foot or shorter.												
		MetroParks - No dogs except in picnic or parking areas and on designated pet trails. All pets must be on a leash not more than 6 feet long.												
		Ohio State Parks - On leash at all times.												
		Wayne National Forest - Leashed at all times on a leash not more than 6 feet long.												
		Miami Valley Trails - Pets are welcome and must be under the control of the owner.												