



MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING
WORTHINGTON ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
WORTHINGTON MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION
January 12, 2017

The regular meeting of the Worthington Architectural Review Board and the Worthington Municipal Planning Commission was called to order at 7:00 p.m. with the following members present: Mikel Coulter, Chair; Thomas Reis, Vice-Chair; Kathy Holcombe, Secretary; James Sauer; Edwin Hofmann; Amy Lloyd; and David Foust. Also present were: Scott Myers, Worthington City Council Representative to the Municipal Planning Commission; Lee Brown, Director of Planning & Building; and Lynda Bitar, Planning Coordinator and Clerk of the Municipal Planning Commission

A. Call to Order – 7:00 p.m.

1. Roll Call
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Oaths of Office

Mrs. Bitar swore in returning MPC members: Mr. Coulter and Mr. Reis; and ARB members Mrs. Lloyd; and Mr. Foust.

4. Election of Officers

Mr. Sauer moved to nominate Mr. Coulter as Chair, Mr. Reis as Vice-Chair and Mrs. Holcombe as Secretary. Mrs. Holcombe seconded the motion. All members voted, "Aye." Mr. Sauer moved to nominate Mr. Reis as the MPC representative to the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA). Mrs. Holcombe seconded the motion and all members voted "Aye."

Toward the end of the meeting, Mrs. Holcombe moved to reconsider the BZA representative appointment. Mr. Sauer seconded the motion. All members voted "Aye." Mrs. Holcombe then moved to have Mr. Coulter be the MPC representative to the BZA with Mr. Reis as the backup. Mr. Reis seconded the motion and all members voted "Aye."

5. Approval of minutes of the December 8, 2016

Mrs. Holcombe moved to approve the minutes, and Mr. Reis seconded the motion. All Board members voted, "Aye." The minutes were approved.

6. Affirmation/swearing in of witnesses

B. Architectural Review Board

Mr. Coulter asked Mr. Foust to explain the photographs on display. Mr. Foust said the photographs were of typical houses built in the late 1800's. Most of the houses had two rooms upstairs and two down, with stone or log bases. He said the lap siding and window styles were typical of the architecture in the 1860's & 1870's.

1. New

- a. Outdoor Seating Area – **2285 W. Dublin-Granville Rd. Suite 113** (Shawn McAllister/
Borgata Pizza Café) **AR 01-17**

Findings of fact & Conclusions

Mrs. Bitar reviewed the following from the staff memo:

Background & Request

In 2014 & 2015, the property owner of this land at the southwest corner of W. Dublin-Granville Rd. and Linworth Rd. annexed, rezoned, subdivided, created a Development Plan, and received Architectural Review Board approval to redevelop the property as a neighborhood commercial site. Several businesses are now open in Linworth Crossing.

This request would accommodate outdoor seating for Borgata Pizza Café.

Project Details:

1. The restaurant is planning to locate at the northeast corner of the westernmost building. The space opens to the area between buildings that was intended for restaurant seating.
2. In order to operate restaurant seating outside of the building, an additional door and fenced-in area would be needed. The door is proposed in the same style as the other storefront entrances in the building. A 3' high black metal railing with 2 rails would make up the enclosure. Nine tables with 4 chairs each are proposed.
3. A previously approved trellis would be above the area.

Land Use Plans:

Worthington Design Guidelines and Architectural District Ordinance

The Worthington Design Guidelines recognizes outdoor seating and tables for use by patrons as giving an open feel and being pedestrian-friendly. Fences and walls are traditionally used as boundary markers and security features. In commercial districts they often are used to separate a storefront or an outdoor seating area from the activity beyond. The Architectural District Ordinance calls for design and materials to be compatible.

Development Plan Amendments

Page 2 of 12

ARB/MPC Meeting January 12, 2017

Minutes

If an amendment does not conflict with the character or integrity of the development it can be approved by approved by the Director of Planning and Building.

Recommendations:

Staff is recommending approval of this application, as an outdoor seating area in this location is desirable, and meets with the previously approved development plan. The railing style is simple, and complementary to the design of the shopping center.

Discussion:

Mr. Coulter asked if the old curb cut from the original parking lot would be removed. Mr. Brown said yes, and there are other site improvements that still need to be addressed, such as handicap access to the trail and a left turn lane needed on St. Rt. 161. Mrs. Holcombe said she noticed a variation of color for the siding. She said the siding on the front of the building was cream color while the side of the building appeared to be white. Mrs. Bitar said she would check into the matter. Mr. Sauer said the edge of the road is not defined and Mrs. Bitar explained the turn lane still needed to be put in place.

Mr. Coulter asked if the applicant was present. Mr. Shawn McAllister explained he is the architect for the project, and along with him was Mr. Edward Bisconti, the owner of the restaurant. Mr. Sauer asked what color the trellis would be and Mrs. Bitar said the trellis would be a natural wood color. He asked if the furniture was included in the application and Mrs. Bitar said yes. She explained if the owner of the restaurant chose to use umbrellas, the umbrellas would be a solid cream or white color. Mr. Sauer asked what color the railing would be and Mr. McAllister said the railing would be black. Mr. Coulter asked if there was anyone present that wanted to speak either for or against this application and no one came forward.

Motion:

Mr. Hofmann moved:

THAT THE REQUEST BY SHAWN MCALLISTER FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS TO ADD AN OUTDOOR SEATING AREA FOR BORGATA PIZZA CAFÉ AT 2285 W. DUBLIN-GRANVILLE RD., AS PER CASE NO. AR 01-17, DRAWINGS NO. AR 01-17, DATED DECEMBER 14, 2016 BE APPROVED BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND PRESENTED AT THE MEETING WHICH CAN INCLUDE PATIO UMBRELLAS IN A SOLID WHITE OR CREAM COLOR.

Mrs. Holcombe seconded the motion. Mrs. Bitar called the roll. Mr. Coulter, aye; Mr. Reis, aye; Mrs. Holcombe, aye; Mr. Sauer, aye; Mr. Hofmann, aye; Mrs. Lloyd, aye; and Mr. Foust, abstained. The motion was approved.

- b. Remove Second Story Addition – **753 High St.** (Kingsley Ray House/Worthington Presbyterian Church) **AR 02-17**

Findings of Fact & Conclusions

Mrs. Bitar reviewed the following from the staff memo:

Background & Request:

Historic records indicate the brick structure at 753 High St. was constructed in 1826 for Dr. Kingsley Ray and his wife Mary. A framed structure was added in 1856 on the south side of the house. A photograph is part of a report from the Worthington Historical Society included with the application. Around 1870, the building reportedly served as the post office for a time. Other framed additions were built in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century to allow the structure to accommodate two residences. The earlier south side addition was mostly removed at the time of that construction.

The Worthington Presbyterian Church purchased the building in 1965 and rented the property for 25 years after which time the structure was vacant. The church demolished most of the framed additions in the early 1990's to allow expansion of the church, leaving only the original brick building with the second story framed addition above.

In order to preserve the original structure by installing a new roof, the church is now asking approval to remove the framed upstairs, which would allow repair of the roof without having to rebuild the addition.

Project Details:

1. New rafters would be added where removed with the addition, and the overhang would match that of the original structure. The gabled roof is proposed to be finished with Antique Slate GAF Slateline asphalt shingles. The shingles would reportedly be similar to those on the church buildings.
2. The chimney would be retained, but shortened to relate to the lower roofline.

Land Use Plans:

Worthington Design Guidelines and Architectural District Ordinance

Because demolition is an irreversible act, full or partial demolition must be carefully considered before any decision is made. A decision on whether a particular demolition is appropriate must be made in light of several factors, including whether the demolition is full or partial; the age of the structure; the level of integrity of the structure being demolished (has it been extensively altered?); and the impact of the demolition on Worthington's character.

Recommendation:

Staff is recommending approval of this application. The Kingsley Ray House is historically significant and should be preserved. Preservation of the original character of the building is appropriate given the deteriorating state caused in part by the complicated roof line of the addition.

Discussion:

Mr. Coulter asked if the applicant was present. Mr. Jack Dicke, of 540 Fox Lane, Worthington, Ohio, said he is a member of the Facilities Commission for the church, which has been charged with finding the solution to the leaking roof. Mr. Dicke said they would like to remove the second story addition and rebuild the original roofline for the structure which was built in 1827. Mr. Dicke said he is aware the structure has historical significance to Worthington, so they would like to bring the structure back to the way the building originally looked. After doing some research he discovered the addition was added sometime between 1910 and 1920. Mr. Nathaniel Little was the first owner of the property in 1804, and then in 1807 Mr. Little sold the property to Mr. James Kilbourne. In 1817, Mr. Philander Chase bought the property, and then in 1826, Dr. Kingsley Ray purchased the property. Mr. Chase was a Bishop of the Episcopal Church when it formed in Worthington.

Mr. Coulter asked Mr. Dicke if the siding on the south side would be removed as part of the restoration and filled in with brick to match the rest of the building. Mr. Dicke said no, they are leaving the building the way it is. Mr. Reis asked if the chimney detail would remain the same and Mr. Dicke explained they are only planning to put a cap on the chimney since the chimney is no longer used. There would be at least three feet of the chimney above the roof. Mr. Hofmann said the decision to take down the second story addition was a good one. Mr. Hofmann said he would like to see what kind of detail would be appropriate for a chimney of that era. Mr. Dicke said it could look like it does now. Mr. Foust said there are some 19th century buildings still in existence within the city, and although some of the chimneys may have been altered, he thought that might be a good place to find an appropriate style. Mr. Coulter discussed the house on Evening Street that went through a major renovation, and specifically asked if the chimney pots would have been reflective for that time period. Mr. Foust said he did not believe the chimney pots were reflective of that time period. He believed the chimney pots were an extension of the flue and may have been added sometime between the 1930's or 1940's.

Mr. Foust said there are two ways to approach a project like this: 1. Every addition has value, 2. Picking a time period to restore the house to match. He feels the addition is awkward and detracts from the original house. Mr. Foust would like to see the staircase inside the building saved, which leads to the second floor. Mr. Dicke said they plan to leave the staircase in its current location.

Mr. Dicke showed a sample of the shingle materials to the Board members. Mr. Dicke said the roof of the church is made out of slate, and the educational section of the church has a type of asphalt shingle which he presented to the Board which matched the color of the slate. Mrs. Bitar asked if the meters could be removed since there are no utilities going to the building. Mr. Dicke said yes, the meters could be removed. Mr. Coulter asked Mr. Dicke if he was planning to paint the building after removing the second floor to give the building a fresh look and Mr. Dicke said yes, the new wood trim will be painted a cream color to match the other church buildings. Mrs. Holcombe asked if Mr. Dicke was planning to paint the brick and he said he was uncertain. She said this was one of her favorite houses in the downtown Worthington area, and she would like to see brick to be tuck pointed and the chimney details saved. She would also like to see the building painted the same green color. Mr. Dickie said they had also thought about acid washing the brick to look natural. Mr. Sauer felt retention of the current color would be good. Mr. Coulter asked if

there was anyone present that wanted to speak either for or against this application and one person came forward.

Mrs. Christine Lahue, of 28 W. Dublin-Granville Rd., Worthington, Ohio, lives in the white house which sits next to the historic home. She said she came to the meeting to discuss her and her husband's viewpoint about the status of the historic home and its future plans. Mrs. Lahue said she and her husband both treasure the home, and this is unfortunate the house has fallen into such an extreme state of decay. She was delighted to know that her church was taking steps to repair the home, but wished the church had provided a more comprehensive plan in regards to the maintenance. Mrs. Lahue felt removing the second story addition was a stop gap measure and believes more needs to be done to preserve the home. She said the eaves and door have rotted, and fixing only the roof would be like putting a Band-Aid on the home. Mrs. Lahue said there needs to be on-going maintenance, and the sidewalk is also in disrepair. There is a pylon next to a metal bar that is sticking out in the walkway. Mrs. Lahue said she is a historian and has lived in several old homes. She said she believes when a historic building is purchased the buyer has a duty to preserve that building, as if they had a contract with the community. She said the staircase inside the home is drop dead gorgeous. Mrs. Lahue urged the Board and her church to take a longer range perspective and do what is necessary to properly restore the building, the staircase and both fireplaces inside. She does not believe a new roof is enough to preserve the building. Mrs. Lahue was also in favor of her church's proposal to use an acid wash on the brick to restore the brick to its natural color. Mrs. Lahue would like to see the second story addition on the home preserved. Mrs. Holcombe asked if Mrs. Lahue was in favor of removing the addition and Mrs. Lahue said no, she would like the addition to stay. As a longtime member of the church and a former trustee, she remembers when additions were previously removed to allow the church to expand. She thought at the time that would be the last change to the Kingsley Ray house. Removal of the rest of the addition should not be allowed just because the church let the structure fall into further disrepair. Mrs. Lahue felt that should not be acceptable to the ARB or the community.

Mr. Coulter said he had some concerns about using acid wash because it could cause the older bricks to disintegrate. He suggested doing a test patch first. Mr. Foust said Mrs. Lahue's comments were well taken, and said he has struggled with keeping vs. removing the second floor addition. He did feel the addition was out of character with the rest of the house, so that made the decision a bit easier. Mr. Foust agreed the building should not be allowed to go into further disrepair. Mr. Sauer said he was torn with his decision because the addition does not match the house, but he would like to see the house preserved and maintained. He also expressed concern with acid washing the brick.

Ms. Cathy Cable said she is an Elder, and representing the Presbyterian Church, and she is also a member of the Facilities Commission for the church. Ms. Cable said the Facilities Commission wants to preserve the structure the best they can, but they do not have unlimited funds. Ms. Cable said they are still trying to figure out how they are going to fund the project and thought about getting the public involved. Mrs. Holcombe asked if this maintenance issue has been presented to the congregation yet, and Ms. Cable said this matter will come up on their docket at the upcoming annual meeting. Mrs. Holcombe said she felt the church should make preservation of the building

a priority. She said she would not be in favor of removing the addition if the Worthington Historical Society had not endorsed the project. Mrs. Holcombe would like the next steps of preservation to be clearly laid out. Mr. Hofmann asked Ms. Cable if there had been any discussion about how the church would like to use this building. Ms. Cable said no. Mr. Coulter suggested Ms. Cable take the discussion back to the church and let them know the long term plan for preservation needs to be addressed sooner rather than later. Mr. Sauer said he understands the reasoning to take the second story addition off of the structure and bringing it back to the original design because that would be less expensive than trying to maintain the larger structure. Ms. Cable said the roof is in a critical state and needs to be addressed right away, and the other issues will also be addressed in time.

Mr. Dicke said all of the church's committees have agreed to remove the second story addition and return the building to its original state. He said they recognize they need to preserve the building but the need to replace the roof is critical and needs to be taken care of as soon as possible. This will be the first step of preservation.

Mr. John Beck, of 1450 Briar Meadow Dr., Columbus, Ohio, said the church Commission members have had many meetings with the historical society, and feels this is just the first step to ensure the building does not fall down.

Mr. Noel Kigaraba, of 164 E. North St., asked if the church members have tried a kick starter program that would involve the community in order to raise funds for the project. He said he is fairly new to Worthington but has lived in Columbus for some time. He really likes Worthington because of its unique buildings and feels the area residents would be willing to donate funds to help with the preservation of this structure. He would like to see the building used for a gathering space.

Motion:

Mr. Reis moved:

THAT THE REQUEST BY THE WORTHINGTON PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS TO REMOVE THE SECOND STORY ADDITION ON THE KINGSLEY RAY HOUSE AT 753 HIGH ST. AS PER CASE NO. AR 02-17, DRAWINGS NO. AR 02-17, DATED DECEMBER 19, 2016, BE APPROVED BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND PRESENTED AT THE MEETING WITH THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS:

- **THAT THE CHIMNEY TOP DETAIL BE RESTORED TO THE DETAIL OF THAT ERA;**
- **THAT SHOULD THE CHURCH REPAINT THE STRUCTURE THE PAINT SHOULD BE OF A COLOR TO MATCH WHAT ALREADY EXISTS;**
- **THAT THE UTILITY METERS AND CONDUIT WILL BE REMOVED FROM THE NORTH ELEVATION**

Mr. Sauer seconded the motion. Mrs. Bitar called the roll. Mr. Coulter, nay; Mr. Reis, aye; Mrs. Holcombe, aye; Mr. Sauer, aye; Mr. Hofmann, aye; Mrs. Lloyd, aye; and Mr. Foust, aye.

c. Entry Door & Lighting – **164 E. North St.** (Noel & Sarah Kigaraba) **AR 03-17**

Findings of Fact & Conclusions

Mrs. Bitar reviewed the following from the staff memo:

Background & Request:

This structure is a 1 ½ story Cape Cod style house that was constructed in 1948. The property is at the northeast corner of the Architectural Review District, and is not a contributing property in the Worthington Historic District. Several changes are proposed for the front entrance.

Project Details:

1. Replacement of the front door is proposed. The existing wood door has 6 panels, 2 of which are lights at the top. The new fiberglass door would have 12 lights in the top 2/3 of the door and be solid below.
2. A crown molding and pilasters in white polyurethane are proposed to frame the door.
3. A single light fixture above the door is proposed to be replaced with 1 fixture on each side of the door. The proposed fixtures are square coach lamps that are copper.

Land Use Plans:

Worthington Design Guidelines and Architectural District Ordinance

Classical detailing around the entrance is typical for Cape Cod style homes. In selecting new light fixtures, simple designs are usually the best. Avoid overly ornate fixtures and ones that are out of scale with the building. Design and materials should be traditional, and compatible with the existing structure.

Recommendation:

Staff is recommending *approval* of this application, as the proposed changes are in character with the house. The design and materials should have a traditional look.

Discussion:

Mr. Coulter asked if the applicant was present. Mr. Noel Kigaraba, of 164 E. North St., Worthington, Ohio, said at some point they would like to add a storm door to the front. Mr. Coulter explained Mr. Kigaraba could come back at a later date to have the storm door approved. Mr. Coulter asked if there was anyone present that wanted to speak either for or against this application and no one came forward.

Motion:

Mr. Hofmann moved:

THAT THE REQUEST BY NOEL & SARAH KIGARABA FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS TO REPLACE THE FRONT DOOR, TRIM AND LIGHTING AT 164 E. NORTH ST. AS PER CASE NUMBER AR 03-17, DRAWINGS NUMBER AR 03-17, DATED DECEMBER 19, 2016 BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

Mr. Reis seconded the motion. Mrs. Bitar called the roll. Mr. Coulter, aye; Mr. Reis, aye; Mrs. Holcombe, aye; Mr. Sauer, aye; Mr. Hofmann, aye; Mrs. Lloyd, aye; and Mr. Foust, abstained (did not hear discussion).

d. New Siding – 52 W. North St. (James Ross/Musto) AR 04-17

Findings of Fact & Conclusions

Mrs. Bitar reviewed the following from the staff memo:

Background & Request:

This Cape Cod was built in the early 1950's and is a contributing property in the Worthington Historic District. Recent projects include: an addition to the rear; roof replacement; window redesign; the addition of a screened porch; and replacement of the freestanding garage. When the project was originally approved, the siding was going to be double 4" vinyl siding in "Sunny Maize". The original siding on the house was similar. A different siding style is proposed with this application.

Project Details:

1. The proposed siding is a double 5" lap vinyl siding in Charcoal Grey. The siding would be from Royal Building Products but the exact style is not known.
2. For the front gables of the house and garage, the applicant would like to use an 8" vinyl shake material in white.

Land Use Plans:

Worthington Design Guidelines and Architectural District Ordinance

Wood siding is the preferred exterior material for new buildings, additions to existing buildings, or new garages and outbuildings. The siding should be used in one of its traditional forms: shingle, board-and-batten, shiplap or beveled siding. Design and materials should be traditional, and compatible with the existing structure.

Recommendation:

Staff is recommending *approval* of this application. Although the proposed siding is vinyl, it is replacing similar material and is in a traditional form.

Discussion:

Mrs. Bitar showed pictures of the trees that were recently removed from in front of the house. Mr. Coulter asked if the applicant was present and Mr. James Ross, of 6120 Crystal Valley Dr., Galena,

Ohio came forward. Mr. Coulter asked Mr. Ross why the trees were removed. Mr. Ross said he had no idea, he had nothing to do with the tree removal, but he believes someone may have spoken to the home owner and told her the trees were a danger to her house and the neighboring house. Mr. Coulter asked if there was anyone present that wanted to speak either for or against this application and no one came forward.

Motion:

Mr. Reis moved:

THAT THE REQUEST BY JAMES ROSS ON BEHALF OF LYNNE MUSTO FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS TO REPLACE THE SIDING AT 52 W. NORTH ST. AS PER CASE NUMBER AR 04-17, DRAWINGS NUMBER AR 04-17, DATED DECEMBER 22, 2016 BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

Mr. Sauer seconded the motion. Mrs. Bitar called the roll. Mr. Coulter, aye; Mr. Reis, aye; Mrs. Holcombe, aye; Mr. Sauer, aye; Mr. Hofmann, aye; Mrs. Lloyd, aye; and Mr. Foust, aye. The motion was approved.

e. Addition; Window & Shutters – **50 W. Stafford Ave.** (James Ross/Harris) **AR 05-17**

Findings of Fact & Conclusions

Mrs. Bitar reviewed the following from the staff memo:

Background & Request:

A rear addition and window change are proposed for this 2 story house on the north side of W. Stafford Ave. The house was built in 1964 and is not a contributing property in the Worthington Historic District. It was constructed with brick on the first floor and wood siding painted white on the second, but is currently stuccoed and painted all the same shade of gray. A small room addition was constructed to the rear several years ago.

Project Details:

1. The second story addition would have a gable extending north from the rear house gable above the attached garage. At the rear, a patio with a second floor deck above is proposed. The same materials and color are proposed for the addition as are in the existing house, including the windows, roofing and trim. Patio doors are proposed to give access to the deck, and a single door would lead to the patio. Details of the railing, columns, lighting and doors have not been submitted.
2. On the front of the house, the middle second floor window is proposed to be replaced with a larger window to match the size and style of the windows on both sides. The existing windows are reportedly vinyl. The shutters would also be replaced to match.

Land Use Plans:

Worthington Design Guidelines and Architectural District Ordinance

Residential additions are recommended to maintain similar roof forms; be constructed as far to the rear and sides of the existing residence as possible; be subordinate; and have walls set back from the corners of the main house. Be sure that window designs are appropriate for the style or time period of the house. Design and materials should be traditional, and compatible with the existing structure.

Recommendation:

Staff is recommending *approval* of this application if the requested details are satisfactory. The addition is appropriately sized and to the rear; the materials seem to be complementary; and the replacement window would look like it was there from the beginning.

Discussion:

Mr. Coulter asked if the applicant was present. Mr. James Ross, of 6120 Crystal Valley Dr., Galena, Ohio, did not have anything further to add to the presentation. Mr. Shawn Harris, of 50 W. Stafford Ave., Worthington, Ohio, did not have anything further to add to the presentation. There were no other speakers.

Motion:

Mrs. Holcombe moved:

THAT THE REQUEST BY JAMES ROSS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS TO CONTRUCT AN ADDITION AND CHANGE A WINDOW AT 50 W. STAFFORD AVE. AS PER CASE NO. AR 05-17, DRAWINGS NO. AR 05-17, DATED DECEMBER 22, 2016, BE APPROVED BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

Mr. Reis seconded the motion. Mrs. Bitar called the roll. Mr. Coulter, aye; Mr. Reis, aye; Mrs. Holcombe, aye; Mr. Sauer, aye; Mr. Hofmann, aye; Mrs. Lloyd, aye; and Mr. Foust, aye. The motion was approved.

D. Other

Mr. Brown mentioned the Ground Hog Day Breakfast, which will take place on Thursday, February 2, 2017, at 7:00 a.m. He urged the Board members to use their city email addresses to check for messages.

Mr. Brown said the Community Relations Commission is inviting all of the Board & Commission members to the McConnell Arts on Wednesday, February 15, 2017, at 6:15 p.m. for a reception and a presentation will take place at 7:00 p.m. The presentation will be about understanding metropolitan change and the implications for Worthington.

Mr. Brown said he does not have any further information for Ohio Health or the Holiday Inn. He also said City Council would be discussing a moratorium on solar panels for the ARB district at the upcoming meeting. There was further discussion about the possible locations for solar panels.

E. Adjournment

Mrs. Holcombe moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:30 p.m., and Mr. Hofmann seconded the motion. The meeting was adjourned.